ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE POLICY

Facts About Tribes

- Federally-recognized Tribes are sovereign Nations
- Federal government has a legal relationship (Trust responsibility) with each Tribe based on treaties, statutes, court decisions & executive orders
- Tribes are culturally unique
- Tribes respect the military culture, yet some members still mistrust us since it was the Army who removed them from their lands
- Tribes do not get "hand outs" the government agreed to certain services in exchange for lands
- Highest rate of enlistment into the Armed Services

Tulsa 10/15/2010

Corps Policy Development

- Tribal Policy Task Force (94, 96, 98, 99, 00)
 - 1995 Listening Sessions (2 vol report)
- Assessment of Corps / Tribal Relations 96
- Tribal Policy Principles Feb 1998 (Policy Guidance Letter #57
- Indian Sacred Sites Policy Guidance Letter #58
- Established HQ Tribal Desk, 2003
- NWD Access Guidance, 2004
- Partnering with Indian Nations training began 2005
- Tribal Nations CoP, 2006
- Draft Consultation Guidelines, 2008

Why do we have a "Policy"?

Cultural impacts:

- Communities have been displaced & impacted
- Traditional resource areas lost & degraded
- Sacred sites lost & degraded
- Tribal/trust resources may include:
 - Topographical features (mountains, rivers, lakes, springs, deserts, trees, caves, rock shelters, routes to sacred places)
 - Fish and wildlife species, medicinal or sacred plants
 - Archeological sites, sacred sites, ceremonial sites, burial grounds, collections of artifacts
 - Petroglyphs and pictographs
 - Resource gathering and processing sites (e.g., pinyon groves, caribou migration routes)

What Does the Corps Policy Involve?

- Indian Lands
 - Reservations (46.2 M acres)
 - Allotted lands (8.9 M acres)
 - Not ANCSA corporation lands [Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act]
- Off-reservation reserved or treaty rights hunting and fishing rights
- Tribal rights access to sacred sites
- Other legal obligations pre-decisional consultation

PGL #57: Tribal Policy Principles (Feb 98)

- Tribal sovereignty
- Trust responsibility
- Government-to-government relations
- Pre-Decisional consultation
- Self-reliance, capacity building, growth
- Natural and cultural resource management and protection

Note: These principles derive from the unique historical and political relationship between the federal government and American Indians/Alaska Natives.

The Policy Principles were reaffirmed by the Chief of Engineers in 2010

Corps Affects Tribal Lands & Resources

- □ Projects constructed/operated by Corps (~30% of Corps Civil Works projects affect directly treaty and trust resources of ~20% of Tribes in the lower 48 States)
- Projects constructed by the Corps, operated and maintained by non-Federal sponsors
- Activities authorized by the Regulatory Program
 - Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, Sec 10 (structures in navigable waters)
 - Clean Water Act Sec. 404 (fill in waters of the U.S., including wetlands)
- Support for Others (WRDA 1992) (now "Interagency and International Services")
- Military Projects
 - (installations, housing, airports, clearing ordnance, HTRW)

The Tribal Program is Growing

- Tribal Nations Community of Practice
- CoP Charter establishes goals & objectives
- Tribal Liaison at HQ has access to Army leaders
- Leaders take Tribal responsibilities seriously
- Education a major thrust, cross-cultural learning goes both directions
- It all begins with trust and respect, one person at a time
- Tribal Liaisons in ~8 districts and POCs in 30

Water Resources Development Act of 2000, Section 208

- Discretionary authority to rebury human remains on Corps/Federal lands
- Corps Commanders must identify suitable lands for this purpose
- Recovery, preparation and reburial costs 100% Federal expense
- Discretional authority to transfer those lands to Tribes or use as cemeteries

PGL # 58: Indian Sacred Sites

- Implements EO 13007 (May 1996)
- Accommodates access to, and ceremonial use of, Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners
- Avoids adversely affecting physical integrity of sacred sites, maintain confidentiality
- Maintains confidentiality of information
- Ceremonial use may include collection of plants, clearing of habitat, gathering of animal parts or feathers, and other resource consuming activities

NWD Tribal Land Access Policy

- □ ER 1130-2-550Recreation Authorization
- The District Commander may waive day fees for boating, swimming beaches, and other recreational services for Tribal members
- Special use permits should be issued w/o charge to Tribal members for ceremonial purposes
 - Requests must be submitted by the Tribal Government or coordinator that has been authorized by the Tribe to the Commander or their Representative

Water Resources Development Act of 2000, Section 203

- Tribal Partnership Program
- □ Just renewed Sec. 2011, WRDA 2007
- Focused on Tribal water and related land resource needs
- Natural and cultural resource projects (acknowledges they are inextricably linked)
- Credit for traditional cultural knowledge
 - In-kind contributions allowed
- Ability to Pay (cost sharing reduction once rulemaking has been completed)

Water Resources Development Act of 1974, Sec. 22

- Planning Assistance to Tribes and States, allows for technical assistance cost shared 50/50
 - Comprehensive water and related land resources planning - projects in 14 Corps Districts
 - Over 100 agreements with Tribes, 30 Tribes (~ 5% of Federally-recognized Tribes)
 - \$3.3 million since 1991 10% of annual PAS budget (average)

Tribes Are Partners

- Tribal interests are not restricted to cultural resource issues
- Tribes are and can be sponsors & partners for water resources projects
- Tribes can play important roles in planning, operating and maintaining projects and programs
- Tribes embody a vast pool of untapped talent and opportunities
- Working with Tribes helps fulfill our Trust responsibilities and carry out the Corps mission as well

Consultation Considerations

- DoD threshold = "may have the potential to significantly affect"
- Indian Nations are not simply stakeholders in the Government-to-Government context
- Collaborative & ongoing process, may be event, project, proposal, or program driven
- Local protocols can facilitate staff-to-staff communication
- Based upon trust and respect
- Education both directions continuing education

What is Meaningful Consultation?

- □ Be pre-decisional: no more "done deals"
- Work toward consensus, but ultimately Corps makes decisions
- Tribes are not just another stakeholder, user group, or the "public"
 - Protect Indian rights from adverse impacts
 - Consider cultural factors and perspectives
 - Beyond NEPA and NHPA

Missouri River System Example

- History: 1944 Corps granted authority over all MO River dams.
 - Fort Randall Dam, begun in 1946, flooded 22,000 acres of Sioux land and displaced 136 families
 - 1944-1966 Flooding & disruption continued throughout construction of all 6 dams. 8 Tribes & 900 families were displaced
- Challenges 6,000+ shoreline miles under Corps mg't contain \sim 5,000 archaeological and sacred sites
 - erosion, encroachment, looting and vandalism, insufficient funds for stabilization and protection (\$3 million annually for a \$82+ million need)
- Progress Section 106 Programmatic Agreement signed April 2004 (Corps, ACHP, 4 SHPOs, 27 Tribes, National Trust for Historic Preservation, BIA)
 - Activities will take years to complete because it is so comprehensive
 - Reviewed annually

Program Challenges

- Funding vs. needs & ability to pay in Indian Country
- Visibility/accountability at leadership levels
- Leadership changes (both Corps and Tribal)
- Identify/remove procedural impediments
- Identify sources of funding
- Improve consistency of approach
- Working with different cultures
- Hiring more Native employees
- Following through on promises, agreements

What to Do!!

- LISTEN
- LEARN
- COMMUNICATE
- ACT
- ASSESS
- REPEAT ALL

Questions???

- Chip Smith
- Assistant for Environment, Tribal & Regulatory
 Affairs
- Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works
- □ (703) 693-3655
- Chip.smith@hqda.army.mil